3 May

A Review of Multimodal Hallucinations: Categorization, Assessment, Theoretical Perspectives, and Clinical Recommendations

Marcella Montagnese¹, Pantelis Leptourgos^{2,}, Charles Fernyhough³, Flavie Waters⁴, Frank Larøi⁵⁻⁷, Renaud Jardri^{8,9,}, Simon McCarthy-Jones¹⁰, Neil Thomas^{11,12,}, Rob Dudley^{13,14}, John-Paul Taylor¹⁵, Daniel Collerton¹⁴, and Prabitha Urwyler^{*,15–17,}

¹Neuroimaging Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Kings College London, London, UK; ²Department of Psychiatry, Connecticut Mental Health Center, Yale University, New Haven, CT; ³Department of Psychology, Durham University, Durham, UK; ⁴School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia; ⁵Department of Biological and Medical Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; ⁶Psychology and Neuroscience of Cognition Research Unit, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium; ⁷Norwegian Center of Excellence for Mental Disorders Research, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; ⁸University of Lille, INSERM U1172, CHU Lille, Centre Lille Neuroscience and Cognition, F-59000 Lille, France; ⁹Laboratoire de Neurosciences Cognitives et Computationnelles, ENS, INSERM U960, PSL Research University, F-75005, Paris, France; ¹⁰Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland; ¹¹Centre for Mental Health, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia; ¹²The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia; ¹³Gateshead Early Intervention in Psychosis Service, Northumberland, Tyne and Wear NHS, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; ¹⁴School of Psychology, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; ¹⁵Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; ¹⁶Gerontechnology and Rehabilitation, ARTORG Center for Biomedical Engineering, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; ¹⁷Department of Neurology, University Hospital Bern—Inselspital, Bern, Switzerland

*To whom correspondence should be addressed; tel: +41 31 632 76 07, fax: +41 31 632 75 76, e-mail: prabitha.urwyler@artorg.unibe.ch

Hallucinations can occur in different sensory modalities, both simultaneously and serially in time. They have typically been studied in clinical populations as phenomena occurring in a single sensory modality. Hallucinatory experiences occurring in multiple sensory systems-multimodal hallucinations (MMHs)-are more prevalent than previously thought and may have greater adverse impact than unimodal ones, but they remain relatively underresearched. Here, we review and discuss: (1) the definition and categorization of both serial and simultaneous MMHs, (2) available assessment tools and how they can be improved, and (3) the explanatory power that current hallucination theories have for MMHs. Overall, we suggest that current models need to be updated or developed to account for MMHs and to inform research into the underlving processes of such hallucinatory phenomena. We make recommendations for future research and for clinical practice, including the need for service user involvement and for better assessment tools that can reliably measure MMHs and distinguish them from other related phenomena.

Key words: hallucinations/multisensory/psychosis/comp utational

Introduction

Various definitions have been advanced for "hallucinations," but there is general consensus that a hallucination can be defined as a sensory experience that resembles veridical perception without having a corresponding sensory stimulation from the external environment.¹ Hallucinations can occur in all senses, including auditory, visual, olfactory, kinesthetic, and more.² Hallucinatory experiences span nosological categories³ and are a clinical manifestation of many psychiatric disorders (schizophrenia⁴ and bipolar⁵), neurodegenerative diseases (dementia with Lewy bodies [DLB]⁶), and Parkinson's disease psychosis [PDP]⁷), as well as sensory disorders like hearing impairment or eye disease.^{8,9}

Traditionally, hallucinations are often assumed to occur in one modality at a time (unimodal) and can be associated with different disorders—auditory hallucinations (AHs) in schizophrenia¹⁰ and visual hallucinations (VHs) in DLB.¹¹ Where hallucinations do occur in different modalities, the predominant understanding is that they occur at different times (ie, they are not fused/simultaneous, like seeing and hearing a talking head; though see ¹²). Consequently, clinical assessments have had a

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Maryland Psychiatric Research Center. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

focus on single modalities, thus biasing data collection toward unimodal hallucinations in potentially problematic ways. Nevertheless, growing recognition that hallucinations may occur in multiple modalities has shifted the attention to a systematic search for such multimodal phenomena.^{3,6,13–17}

Despite the lack of in-depth scrutiny in the field, accounts of hallucinations across all senses can be traced through time.¹⁸ Historical examples include medieval descriptions of spiritual voice hearing, such as those by Margery Kempe,¹⁹ who did not just hear the "voice of God" but also had visions and other sensory experiences.²⁰ A recent case study²¹ shows the experience of Mr T.A., a patient with schizophrenia who saw and heard humanoid creatures associated with a foul smell and who could go through his body, causing him unpleasant coenesthetic sensations (disorder of bodily perception²²). Such examples challenge the notion that unimodal hallucinations are the overwhelmingly prevalent clinical manifestations of psychiatric and organic disorders^{13–17,23} and highlight the need for more accurate clinical assessment and management.

While hallucinations across multiple senses are starting to attract increasing research interest, several outstanding questions need to be addressed. This review, therefore, focuses on "multimodal hallucinations" (MMHs), ie, hallucinations that co-occur in different modalities, either in a simultaneous or in a sequential (serial) manner, with the overall objective of providing an overview of the field, highlight areas that require further scrutiny and identify issues of potential clinical importance.

MMHs: Definition and Categorization

MMHs have been referenced in the literature by terms such as "polymodal/polysensory/intersensorial" and more,²⁴ reflecting a lack of consensus on how to name, categorize, and understand such phenomena. There is confusion regarding MMHs at the level of a person's range of experiences (an individual is prone to having MMHs) vs at the level of a hallucinatory episode (a particular experience can be classified as MMHs). If conceptualized at the person level, MMHs do not require temporal relatedness. Conceptualizing them at the level of a single hallucinatory episode would be more stringent but would also involve consideration of how closely together in time the hallucinations across modalities should occur to be considered part of the same hallucinatory episode (from simultaneously at some point to being in the same day or within the same psychotic episode).

Consequently, the lack of consistent specification regarding the temporal relationships that unimodal hallucinations might have with each other and whether they have to occur within a specific period to count as serial MMHs have made cross-comparisons of different studies difficult.

Categorization

Given the lack of clarity in the literature, one aim of this review is to provide a categorization framework of MMHs along 3 dimensions (based on work in ^{13,14,16}; figure 1. Examples of the different types of MMHs given by the possible combination of features along these dimensions can be found in table 1. For the implications of such framework for clinical and research practice see "Clinical Implications" section.

The first dimension considers whether the hallucinations are occurring on the same temporal scale across multiple sensory modalities. If they co-occur, they are categorized as "simultaneous MMHs." If they occur in one sensory modality at a time (unimodal) but in a sequential manner over time (with delays ranging from minutes, days, and more), they are categorized as "serial MMHs." By conceptualizing such experiences at the person level instead, a clearer dimensionality emerges: one would consider the proportion of hallucinatory experiences that are in multiple modalities simultaneously vs in different modalities at different times.

The second dimension looks at whether the MMHs are experienced as being "from the same source or entity,"^{14,16} but how to understand this concept of a *common* source is underspecified in the literature. A case study²⁵ of a patient seeing and hearing the voice of a human figure shows how the common source can be based on perceptions of the same entity in different sensory modalities. However, hallucinations might also be of distinct but semantically related entities (eg, having a religious vision and subsequently hearing the voice of God). Importantly, considering relatedness in a dimensional rather than dichotomous way suggests 3 important points along this dimension: (1) cases of maximal relatedness in which MMHs represent the same entity; (2) cases of moderate relatedness in which MMHs represent distinct but meaningfully related entities; and (3) cases in which hallucinations across different modalities represent completely unrelated entities.

Finally, the third categorization level concerns whether the combination of hallucinations across modalities is contextually coherent (congruent MMHs) or not (incongruent MMHs). One limitation is that special combinations of the dimensions might give rise to definitional



Fig. 1. Categorization of multimodal hallucinations (level of a hallucinatory episode) across 3 dimensions: time, relatedness, and congruence. The arrows show how the different categories/levels can be combined to give rise to different types of multimodal hallucinations.

 Table 1. Examples of multimodal hallucination (MMH) types based on the combinatorial features of MMHs along the 3 dimensions of time, relatedness, and congruence

Combinatorial f	eatures of	f MMHs				Example of MMH with such features
Serial	and	Unrelated			\rightarrow	Seeing a dog today and hearing the voice of the devil a few days later
Simultaneous	and	Unrelated			\rightarrow	Seeing a dog and hearing the voice of the devil
Simultaneous	and	Related	and	Congruent	\rightarrow	Seeing a dog and hearing them bark
Simultaneous	and	Related	and	Incongruent	\rightarrow	Seeing a dog and hearing them speak with the devil's voice
Serial	and	Related	and	Congruent	\rightarrow	Seeing a dog today and associating an auditory hallucina- tion of barking noise later in the day to the same entity (ie, the dog)
Serial	and	Related	and	Incongruent	\rightarrow	Seeing a dog today (visual hallucination only) and associating the voice of the devil heard later in the day (auditory hallucination only) to the same entity (ie, the dog)

issues of MMHs. Would a (temporal) *sequence* of (conceptually) *unrelated* hallucinations occurring within a short time frame be considered multimodal? Perhaps yes.

It is important to highlight that this classification system is a clinical heuristic that would need further validation. Crucially, service users experiencing MMHs and unimodal hallucinations should be consulted in order to validate the current framework and available measures (discussed later) to ensure that one does not impose a classificatory system that limits their understanding of such experiences.

Prevalence

Overall, studies show that hallucinations in one modality incrementally increase the risk of hallucinations in one or more other modalities.^{26,27} There also seems to be an inverse relationship between the number of modalities and proportion of people reporting them,^{15,16} as well as specific patterns of frequencies of MMHs across disorders, which will be discussed in the following sections.

Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder

Since AHs were thought to be the cardinal symptom of psychotic disorders, other hallucinatory modalities were typically overlooked. Recent studies have, however, shown that, for schizophrenia, the weighted mean prevalence of VHs is around 27% (based on 29 studies)⁶ compared to 79% for AHs.²⁸ Prevalence estimates for olfactory hallucinations vary from 6% to 26%, gustatory hallucination 1%–31% and somatic/tactile hallucinations 4%–19%.^{15,28,29}

Evidence suggests that VHs in psychosis almost always (90% of cases) occur in combination with another hallucination modality (auditory, somatic, or other),^{30,31} in contrast to AHs, which can occur independently of other modalities about half of the time.³² The overall lifetime prevalence of any hallucinations for schizophrenia is approximately 80%, with MMHs being twice as common as unimodal ones (53% vs 27%).^{16,33} Such higher prevalence of MMHs over unimodal hallucinations was found across



Fig. 2. Bar chart showing the prevalence (in n%) of hallucination modalities in combination with visual hallucinations across 4 different disorders. Adapted from data in Table 2 in Dudley.¹⁴

studies of bipolar disorder patients as well,³ suggesting continuity across psychotic illnesses. However, none of these studies specifically looked at simultaneous MMHs.

Both serial and simultaneous MMHs were investigated in a group of 22 individuals with schizophrenia and VHs by Dudley et al.¹³ Ninety-six percent of patients experienced serial MMHs vs 86% experiencing simultaneous MMHs, indicating most had a combination of the 2. MMHs were again more common than unimodal ones (see figure 2). By contrast, others report that hallucinations in simultaneous multiple modalities are rare,³⁴ suggesting that the relatively small sample in Dudley's study¹³ might not necessarily represent the prevalence of simultaneous MMHs in psychosis more generally. Further replications are needed.

Eye Disease and Neurodegenerative Disorders

Dudley et al¹⁴ compared hallucinations across different disorders in participants with VHs using the North East Visual Hallucination Interview (NEVHI)³⁵ and found that the frequencies of unimodal vs multimodal experiences varied across neurodegenerative disorders (see figure 2). The Lewy Bodies Dementia (LBD) group had the highest prevalence of MMHs, followed by those with Parkinson's disease (PD) and then by eye disease patients. Unimodal hallucinations remained the most prevalent for all groups, which is at odds with a study by Llorca.³⁰ In their larger sample of 200 PD patients, a combination of hallucinations in 2–3 modalities was more common than unimodal ones, perhaps suggesting that bigger samples are needed to properly detect the prevalence of MMHs in neurodegenerative disorders.

In the Dudley study,¹⁴ despite the higher frequency of unimodal hallucinations reported by participants, MMHs were found to be more irritating, distressing, and frightening than their unimodal counterparts. Furthermore, patients' VHs in the context of MMHs were accompanied by a significantly stronger degree of conviction about their veracity, suggesting some important clinical implications that need further exploration.

Developmental Aspects

Hallucinations are frequently observed in children and adolescents in both clinical and nonclinical contexts.^{36,37} A phenomenological analysis of the hallucinations reported in the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) childhood-onset schizophrenia cohort revealed that the number of sensory modalities involved may serve as an indicator of the neurodevelopmental weight of the disorder.²⁶ This idea of MMHs as a proxy of developmental vulnerability was also confirmed in a case series showing that the number of sensory modalities involved in early-onset hallucinations was related to the probability that a given child ever experienced prior traumatic events.³⁸

Assessment of MMHs

In order to better understand the phenomenology and prevalence of MMHs, it is important to have appropriate, valid, and reliable assessment tools that go beyond the measurement of unimodal experiences in the main sensory domains. To review the available measures fit for this purpose, published measures of MMHs across clinical and nonclinical populations were compared and summarized in table 2. For measures to be considered for review, they needed to include 3 or more modalities of hallucinations, be published, and used by researchers other than the developers and have evidence of their reliability and validity.

Overall, although several of the scales examined can be used to detect *serial* MMHs, only 4 out of 16 have items that specifically assess *simultaneous* MMHs, with most focusing on one modality (eg, visual domain in the NEVHI).

To enable researchers and clinicians to reliably and validly detect both serial and simultaneous MMHs, scales need to include:

- assessments of the dimensional categories discussed;
- items detecting delirium (acute state characterized by attentional impairments, cognitive dysfunction, and fluctuating awareness of the surroundings³⁹) as this can be common in neurodegenerative disease patients⁴⁰ and their experiences might be mistaken for MMHs but be delirium episodes⁴¹;
- items assessing the presence of sleep disorders and incubus experiences in sleep paralysis as these can be linked to multisensory vivid experiences that might again be confused as MMHs (particularly in neurodegenerative disorders⁴²;
- items evaluating experiences beyond the common 5 sensory modalities and what the relationship between them is.

Theoretical Perspectives

Theories of hallucinations can be divided into those that consider these experiences to be attributable to modality-specific pathological processes and those that propose modality-general processes affecting multiple sensory modalities. An elegant discussion of these theories with regards to MMHs can be found in Fernyhough's paper.⁴³ The present review builds on this work and aims to synthesize how these theories stand up to scrutiny given the available evidence on MMHs.

A related question is to what extent the processes underlying MMHs are pathological. It could be that the pathology lies within one sensory system (visual in DLB or auditory in psychosis), and then the normal processes that ensure sensory consistency create MMHs from an initially unisensory experience (eg, you start to see something, priming you to later hear something consistent with it). This would be in line with an activation of modalitygeneral representations, particularly of social agents, but, at present, we have little knowledge of how MMHs develop, both within a single episode and over time.

Modality-Specific Processes

The fact that recent studies indicate that MMHs are more prevalent in psychiatric and organic disorders than previously assumed—albeit without a rigorous distinction between the exact type of MMHs—raises the question of how to best conceptualize these experiences across diagnostic categories and whether theories of unimodal hallucinations can account for such multimodality.

The presence of modality-specific processes of hallucinations is supported by evidence linking deficits in peripheral sensory systems to an increased likelihood of experiencing hallucinations in those domains. This is the case for VHs in eye diseases,⁹ which are associated with increasing visual impairments and abnormal activity of visual pathways. Analogously, in the auditory domain, Linszen et al⁴⁴ found an association between hearing

Other			App and online					Online	Semistructured
Format	Self-report Self-report	Self-report	Both	Interview	Interview	Interview	Interview	Interview	Self + carer interview
Scoring and format	Items Items	Subscale	Subscale	Items	Subscale	Items	Items	Subscale	Items
Features	Presence Frequency, distress, and	Frequency (+ distress, intrusive- ness, worry, and	Frequency, intensity, conviction, insight, control, discomfort, and emotional valence	Frequency and duration	Frequency and severity	Presence and frequency	Frequency, duration, subjective severity, and level of control	Frequency, emotional content, distress, impact, and content	Frequency, duration, severity, contents, emotional re- sponse, and behavioral response
Items n.	16 32	43	13/mo- dality	5	7	6	9	11/50	21
Assess temporal features (simul taneity)									
Other									
$\Sigma \circ \infty$									
OLF									
VIS									
AUD									
Intent of the scale	Hallucinations and related experiences Perceptual changes and ballucinations	Continuum perception— hallucinations	Hallucinations	Diagnostic interview	Broad symptoms profile	Diagnostic interview and symptoms	Broad symptoms profile	Hallucinations	Hallucinations
Scale	LSHS (extended and modified versions)—Launay-Slade Hallucination Scale ⁴⁹ CAPS—Cardiff Anomalous Perception Scale ⁵⁰	MUSEQ—Multi-Modality Unusual Sensory Experiences Questionnaire ⁵¹	MHASC—Multisensory Hallucination Scale for Children ⁴⁵	CAARMS—Comprehensive Assessment of At Risk Mental State ²³	SAPS—Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms ³³	DIP-Diagnostic Interview for Psychosis ⁴	KGV—Krawiecka, Goldberg, Vaughan psychosis scale ⁵⁵	QPE—Questionnaire for Psychotic Experiences ^{s6}	NEVHI—North East Visual Hallucination Interview ³⁵
Target popu- lation	General pop- ulation		Children, adolescents, and youths		Psychotic dis- orders			Across dis- orders	

Other						
Format	Self- report	Interview with carers	Self-report	Interview	Interview	Carer interview
Scoring and format	Subscale	Items	Items	Items	Items	Items
Features	Frequency, dura- tion, emotional response, and contents	Severity and du- ration	Frequency, con- tents, emotional response, dura- tion, time of day, and context	Frequency, se- verity, and viv- idness	Clarity and be- havioral response	Presence and clarity
Items n.	20	14	53	٢	4	5
Assess temporal features (simul taneity)						
Other						
$\sim O \Sigma$						
OLF						
VIS						
AUD						
Intent of the scale	Broad symp- toms profile (in Parkinson's)	Broad symptoms profile (in de- mentia)	Hallucinations (in Parkinson's)	Hallucinations (in Parkinson's)	Broad symp- toms profile (in Parkinson's)	Broad symp- toms profile (in Alzheimer's)
Scale	UM-PDHQ—University of Miami Parkinson's Disease hallucinations Q ⁵⁷	MOUSEPAD—Manchester Oxford Universities Scale for the Psychopathological As- sessment of Dementia*	Rush Inventory ⁵⁹	TUHRAS—Tottori University Hallucinations Rating Scale ⁶⁰	BEHAVE-AD—Behavioural Symptoms in Alzheimer's Dis- ease ⁵⁷	CUSPAD—Columbia Univer- sity Scale for Psychopathology in AD ⁶¹
Target popu- lation	Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease					

Note: AUD, auditory; VIS, visual; OLF, olfactory; SOM, somatosensory.

Table 2. Continued

impairment and AHs, the latter worsening as a function of hearing loss severity. Capture studies in schizophrenia also showed that activity in the auditory cortex is linked to AHs.^{45–48} Finally, while not much research is available in other modalities, case studies in PD patients found an association between impaired sense of smell and the experience of olfactory hallucinations.⁴⁹

Few brain imaging studies have explored the role of sensory complexity on the neural networks identified in hallucinations. The functional patterns associated with the occurrence of AHs, VHs, or auditory–visual hallucinations were investigated in an fMRI capture study on medication-free adolescents experiencing first-episode psychosis⁵⁰ and confirmed the recruitment of physiological modality-specific pathways in these aberrant experiences. Furthermore, in schizophrenia,^{51,52} audio–visual hallucinations are associated with distinct functional and structural dysconnectivity patterns compared to those associated with unimodal AHs.

Modality-General Processes

If both serial and simultaneous MMHs can be explained by the modality-specific processes discussed so far, one would expect to find sensory deficits across all sensory modalities in which patients experience hallucinations. To our knowledge, no empirical study has yet shown such a pattern of results, and the presence of MMHs across disorders cannot be fully explained by considering modality-specific processes alone, indicating the involvement of modality-general processes as well.

Misattribution Biases. One candidate process for explaining hallucinations across modalities is a general bias to misattribute internally generated representations (of any modality) to an externally generated source. This concept stems from the inner speech misattribution theory of AHs in schizophrenia,⁵³ which posited that such experiences are the outcome of misattributing one's inner speech to an external entity. As Fernyhough⁴³ suggested, the same mechanism could be translated to other modalities, with evidence for its analog in vision: PD patients with VHs have stronger visual imagery than those without hallucinations.⁵⁴ Furthermore, someone's tendency to be a visualizer or verbalizer relates to their proneness to MMHs.55 Whether this stronger visual imagery is coupled with internal representations being unusually compelling as is the case in the auditory domain-remains to be further investigated. It is unclear whether one can have internal smells or internal gustatory sensations equivalent to having internal speech. Further issues with this model's explanatory power for MMHs are that, in its current form, it cannot explain the different rates of hallucinations across modalities in several disorders, as it would predict that all sensory systems will be equally affected, resulting in similar rates of hallucinatory experiences across them. Nevertheless, this discrepancy could be due to the fact that some sensations might not be equally salient across senses—as suggested earlier—or because some senses might have a different role/weight in perception in distinct scenarios. Thus, MMHs pose interesting challenges to misattribution bias theories that warrant further attention.

Reality Monitoring Deficit. Could MMHs reflect deficits in reality monitoring? This is the ability to discern internally from externally generated memories and such monitoring of the origin of information might go awry in some disorders.

Research suggests that reality monitoring relies on the anterior paracingulate sulcus (PCS) in the medial prefrontal cortex^{56,57} and evidence of its deficits in hallucinating patients is found across conditions (in schizophrenia^{58,59}; in PD⁶⁰). Work by Garrison et al⁵⁶ showed a potential link between reality monitoring and MMHs. They found that, in a group of patients with schizophrenia, reduced length of the PCS was linked to a 19.9% increased likelihood of experiencing hallucinations and, crucially, this relationship was independent of hallucinatory modality (but see ^{57,61} for work on PCS in nonclinical voice hearers). Such evidence, coupled with the previous findings, suggests that reality monitoring might be a possible modality-general mechanism for explaining the emergence of MMHs.

Nevertheless, this theory still suffers from the same shortcomings discussed in previous models and it needs to be reconciled with the evidence of separable modality-specific reality monitoring systems⁶² that could be interacting with the modality-general ones. If so, it would be interesting to see if there are as many modality-specific reality monitoring systems as there are MMHs experienced by patients. Social Agent Representations Theory. A third modalitygeneral theory that considers the often-neglected social content of hallucinations is the "Aberrant Activation of Social Agent Representations" theory.⁶³ Rather than specific sensory stimuli (voices/images), it is the general representation of another agent that is mistakenly activated, triggering the experience of that entity in all modalities. The importance of this theory for MMHs lies in its suitability for experimental testing: (1) one could investigate the extent to which different modalities relate to social agents and to what extent the relatedness dimension discussed earlier might correspond to this and (2) test the prediction that simultaneous and related MMHs should be more commonly experienced than other types. Currently, these hypotheses have not been tested, and further research is needed to explore the explanatory power of this theory for MMHs.

Information Theory Frameworks: Predictive Coding and Circular Inference

The next set of theories cannot be easily characterized as either modality general or modality specific but have elements compatible with both. These theories share the view that hallucinations arise from a dysfunction in the interaction between top-down expectations and bottom-up information. They diverge in the specific way in which these sources of information are thought to interact.

The first theory is predictive coding (PC).^{4,64} It considers the mind as a hierarchical structure engaged in message passing in which perception is a generative process.⁶⁵ The levels of this hierarchy are thought to mirror the hierarchical structure of the world at different levels of abstraction and what is passed along is a prediction error (PE),⁶⁶ ie, the difference between what the higher level predicted about the input from the lower level and what the signal actually was.⁶⁷ The brain aims to minimize PEs⁶⁸ so that what is expected and what is experienced are congruent. If PEs are falsely generated/assigned too much precision, the brain would mistakenly update its model of the world, potentially leading to altered perceptions (at the lower level, ie, hallucinations) and altered beliefs (at the higher level, ie. delusions). Research in schizophrenia and PD suggests that hallucinations might be linked to overreliance on top-down influences on perception,⁶⁹⁻⁷² possibly suggesting similar trans-diagnostic mechanisms of hallucinatory experiences.

Within this framework, one would predict that experiencing hallucinations in one modality might increase the prior expectation (top-down mechanism) of experiencing a congruent hallucination in other modalities. This is in line with Dudley's findings,¹³ where 88% of their early psychosis patients experienced congruent MMHs. Nevertheless, current conceptualizations of PC do not explain the emergence of incongruent and/or unrelated serial or simultaneous MMHs, nor do they account for hallucinations that are not consonant with one's general model of the world-as is the case with unimodal or serial unrelated MMHs in which one experiences disembodied voices.¹³ Furthermore, there is underspecification of whether PE and priors are modality specific or modality general or whether they can be a combination of the 2. More details regarding this would allow one to test specific empirical hypotheses regarding MMHs within this PC framework and thus pave the way for further research in the field.

A related theory in hallucinations research involves the concept of circular inference (CI).⁷³ The core idea is that hallucinations and delusions can be understood in the framework of information theory and, specifically, of message passing in the form of belief propagation in a hierarchical neural network. If not tightly controlled, information propagated in both bottom-up and top-down directions can be amplified and reverberated through "loops"—generating reverberation errors. Bottom-up sensory evidence could be erroneously taken as topdown expectations, while top-down information could be fed back up and be mistaken for sensory information, synesthesia, a perceptual phenomenon whereby stimulation of one modality leads to experiences in another modality⁷⁷ and which has been linked to disinhibited feedback from association cortex to sensory cortex.78 Ongoing research using simulations of drug-induced synesthesia⁷⁹ has shown that reverberation of information descending the cortical hierarchy (descending loops) could induce audio-visual experiences in the absence of clear sensory input (cross-modal hallucinations) but also a contamination of one modality by another in the presence of a unimodal stimulus (synesthesia). These 2 features were retained as good candidates for modeling psychedelic-induced subjective experiences using probabilistic approaches. Both ascending and descending loops could account for variations in the phenomenology of psychotic (or pharmacologically induced) hallucinations: AHs with reduced illusions in the former and audiovisual hallucinations with synesthesia in the latter.

leading to "expecting what we see" or "seeing what we ex-

Functional Systems Approach: A Bridge Between Modality-Specific and Modality-General Processes?

Finally, it is important to consider how MMHs can be conceptualized through dysconnectivity between elements of a functional system,^{43,80} a framework that might allow one to understand how modality-general and modalityspecific processes interact. Evidence of aberrant connectivity of neural networks has been found in relation to AHs in schizophrenia⁸¹ and to VHs in PD.^{82,83} There is some work on resting state and network connectivity in patients experiencing MMHs in schizophrenia, but no evidence is available for other disorders. One could speculate a hypothesis whereby the observed heterogeneity of unimodal and MMHs within and across groups could be attributable to a common modality-general process that gives rise to different clinical phenomenological manifestations depending on what part of the network it affects, alongside potential, although perhaps not sufficient and necessary, pathology in modality-specific networks.

Clinical Implications

The clinical understanding of hallucinations has primarily focused on the auditory modality (exemplified by the fact that most interventions are predominantly for AHs⁸⁴), with limited considerations of other modalities or of MMHs.

Nevertheless, preliminary data indicate that MMHs are linked to higher levels of adverse mental health outcomes, being perceived as more distressing, frightening, and

more veridical than unimodal hallucinations.^{13,17} For serial MMHs, related phenomena can make hallucinations appear to have the power to affect the person in different ways: for instance, tactile sensations or visions that are meaning-fully connected to a disembodied voice can contribute to beliefs that a voice has power over the individual.⁸⁵

One should consider whether multimodal experiences are connected temporally (eg, "Do you usually see them when they are talking? Do you see them without hearing them?") because it would be of relevance in formulating how hallucinations impact on distress. In psychological interventions, clarifying the temporal sequencing of hallucinatory experiences in different modalities may inform how these episodes unfold over time. For instance, the beliefs that people hold about how different hallucinations are interconnected may be targets for cognitive therapy methods. Furthermore, assessing a person's response to the first hallucinatory episode, and their potential expectation for related experiences to occur, may indicate points of intervention via alternative coping strategies.

Finally, we need to evaluate if MMHs lead to poorer outcomes in treatment trials and research the effectiveness of antipsychotic medication for MMHs compared to unimodal ones. This is for 2 reasons: first, whilst antipsychotic medications have a broad effect on psychotic symptoms, and no drugs specifically target hallucinations,⁸⁶ it is not known whether medication may differentially affect MMHs vs unimodal experiences within subjects. This is an area for further research. Second, given the role that antipsychotics have in the management of patients' potential distress caused by hallucinations (which is often what differentiates clinical from nonclinical cases), it is important to extend the investigation of such efficacy beyond unimodal experiences and to the distress experienced by those specifically with MMHs.

Unanswered Questions and Recommendations

Given the paucity of systematic evidence regarding MMHs, there are many unanswered questions and avenues for further research. First, despite some preliminary data, it is not clear whether and how the base modality of hallucinations (ie, the most prominent and frequent) changes the prevalence of experiencing hallucinations in other modalities. Second, longitudinal studies are necessary to ascertain if MMHs change over time. Third, the frequency of unusual experiences (eg, someone only having an MMH once a month but consistent unimodal ones daily) is important in understanding multimodality.

It also remains unclear how many senses one should take into account, since the established 5 sensory domains might not capture the whole range of hallucinatory experiences. Blom⁸⁷ describes 14 "senses" in which hallucinations have been reported, thus widening the range of sensory modalities involved. This raises the question of whether hallucinations in less well-known sensory domains are equally understood by the experiencers themselves and whether they can be easily conveyed to others. Arguably, there might be general difficulties in conveying nonverbal experiences to others in general, which would be a significant challenge in the assessment of MMHs.

In addition, despite the large body of literature on culture and unimodal hallucinations (eg, in schizophrenia^{28,88,89} and in the general population⁹⁰), there is no systematic evidence of its specific influence on MMHs. The underreporting of MMHs¹² could be partially due to their lack of emphasis in standard psychiatric assessments (which may, in turn, be due to an overemphasis on auditory verbal hallucinations in Western psychiatry).⁹¹ Research should look at transcultural data and compare MMHs across groups, especially where there is a strong element of spirituality. It would be interesting to investigate whether some subcultures have stronger expectations that spiritual entities will manifest themselves in particular modalities over others, eg, vision and auditory for "spiritual jaguars" in the Amazonian Wari' shamans,92 and vision/auditory/tactile/olfactory components in the experience of Jinns in Islamic cultures.93

Furthermore, although an overview of multisensory integration (MI-the ability to integrate information from different sensory sources)⁹⁴ is beyond the scope of this paper, it is important to consider MMHs in light of recent evidence on multisensory processing. MI involves several brain areas and networks, starting as early as in the superior colliculus.⁹⁵ A growing body of evidence attests to: (1) MI problems in schizophrenia both for low-level stimuli⁹⁶ and more complex ones,⁹⁷ indicating issues with faulty "binding" of stimuli in time and/or space,⁹⁴ and (2) a link between these issues and hallucinations.⁹⁸ Similarly, PDP patients with hallucinations have problems with the integration of perceptual and attentional processing.^{99,100} Therefore, findings linking MI problems to hallucinations raise the question of whether the heterogeneity of MMHs could be traced to different areas underlying MI, thus giving rise to different types of MMHs. This could be a very important avenue for further research and warrants further attention.

Finally, most current theories are not able to explain (1) why the rates of MMHs across modalities vary within an individual and across patient groups, (2) why patients can show a combination of simultaneous MMHs, serial MMHs, and unimodal hallucinations, and (3) what might give rise to the relatedness and/or congruency of the content of both simultaneous and serial MMHs.

To conclude, in line with the recommendations of the 2017 International Consortium on Hallucination Research,¹⁰¹ this review shows the need to carry out a systematic investigation of MMHs. Overall, the evidence of the high prevalence and adverse prognostic outcomes of MMHs across disorders highlights the need to develop better assessment tools and theoretical models to systematically investigate these experiences and inform treatment strategies alongside the help of service users who experience MMHs and unimodal hallucinations.

Acknowledgments

Thank you to Daniel Williams and Dominic Ffytche for helpful discussion.

Funding

This work was supported by Wellcome Trust grants (WT108720 and WT209513 to C.F.), a Swiss National Science Foundation grant (IZK0Z3_173146 to P.U.), NIHR Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre (J.-P.T.) and NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre (M.M.). This paper represents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. David AS. The cognitive neuropsychiatry of auditory verbal hallucinations: an overview. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry*. 2004;9(1–2):107–123.
- 2. Chesterman LP, Boast N. Multi-modal hallucinations. *Psychopathology*. 1994;27(6):273–280.
- 3. Waters F, Fernyhough C. Hallucinations: a systematic review of points of similarity and difference across diagnostic classes. *Schizophr Bull.* 2017;43(1):32–43.
- Fletcher PC, Frith CD. Perceiving is believing: a Bayesian approach to explaining the positive symptoms of schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2009;10(1):48–58.
- 5. Shinn AK, Pfaff D, Young S, Lewandowski KE, Cohen BM, Öngür D. Auditory hallucinations in a cross-diagnostic sample of psychotic disorder patients: a descriptive, cross-sectional study. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2012;53(6):718–726.
- 6. Waters F, Collerton D, Ffytche DH, et al. Visual hallucinations in the psychosis spectrum and comparative information from neurodegenerative disorders and eye disease. *Schizophr Bull.* 2014;40(suppl 4):S233–S245.
- 7. Fénelon G, Soulas T, Zenasni F, Cleret de Langavant L. The changing face of Parkinson's disease-associated psychosis: a cross-sectional study based on the new NINDS-NIMH criteria. *Mov Disord.* 2010;25(6):763–766.
- 8. Ffytche DH. Visual hallucinations and the Charles Bonnet syndrome. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2005;7(3):168–179.
- Ffytche DH. Visual hallucinations in eye disease. Curr Opin Neurol. 2009;22(1):28–35.
- 10. Mellor CS. First rank symptoms of schizophrenia: I. the frequency in schizophrenics on admission to hospital II.

Differences between individual first rank symptoms. Br J Psychiatry [Internet]. 1970;117(536):15–23. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/the-british-journal-of-psychiatry/ article/first-rank-symptoms-of-schizophrenia-i-the-frequencyin-schizophrenics-on-admission-to-hospital-ii-differencesbetween-individual-first-rank-symptoms/ACADAC3AD0A36 A52AC4D105697E1D279. Accessed October 18, 2019.

- Aarsland D. Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2016;22(suppl 1):S144–S148.
- 12. Goodwin DW. Clinical significance of hallucinations in psychiatric disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1971;24(1):76–80.
- Dudley R, Aynsworth C, Cheetham R, McCarthy-Jones S, Collerton D. Prevalence and characteristics of multi-modal hallucinations in people with psychosis who experience visual hallucinations. *Psychiatry Res.* 2018;269:25–30.
- 14. Dudley R, Aynsworth C, Mosimann U, et al. A comparison of visual hallucinations across disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 2019;272:86–92.
- 15. McCarthy-Jones S, Smailes D, Corvin A, et al. Occurrence and co-occurrence of hallucinations by modality in schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017;252:154–160.
- Lim A, Hoek HW, Deen ML, Blom JD; GROUP Investigators. Prevalence and classification of hallucinations in multiple sensory modalities in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophr Res.* 2016;176(2–3):493–499.
- 17. Laloyaux J, Bless JJ, Hugdahl K, et al. Multimodal hallucinations are associated with poor mental health and negatively impact auditory hallucinations in the general population: results from an epidemiological study. *Schizophr Res.* 2019;210:319–322.
- Freudenreich O, Brown HE, Holt DJ. Psychosis and schizophrenia. In: Stern TA, Fava M, Wilens TE, Rosenbaum JF, eds. *Massachusetts General Hospital, Comprehensive Clinical Psychiatry*. London, UK: Elsevier; 2016:307–323.
- 19. Margery K, Bale A. *The Book of Margery Kempe*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2015.
- Fernyhough C. Voices Within—The History and Science of How We Talk to Ourselves. New York City, NY: Profile Books Ltd; 2016:135–136.
- Jardri R, Pins D, Bubrovszky M, et al. Neural functional organization of hallucinations in schizophrenia: multisensory dissolution of pathological emergence in consciousness. *Conscious Cogn.* 2009;18(2):449–457.
- Rommel O, Bokeloh M, Malin JP, Bräunig P, Strumpf M, Zenz M. [Coenesthesia–an important differential diagnosis in chronic pain syndromes]. *Schmerz*. 1999;13(3):201–204.
- 23. Toh WL, McCarthy-Jones S, Copolov D, Rossell SL. Have we overlooked the significance of multinodal hallucinations in schizophrenia? *Psychiatry Res.* 2019;279:358–360.
- 24. Blom JD. A Dictionary of Hallucinations. New York City, NY: Springer; 2010.
- Kumar V, Bagewadi V, Sagar D, Varambally S. Multimodal hallucinations in schizophrenia and its management. *Indian J Psychol Med [Internet]*. 2017;39(1):86–88. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pmc/articles/PMC5329998. Accessed December 12, 2019.
- 26. David CN, Greenstein D, Clasen L, et al. Childhood onset schizophrenia: high rate of visual hallucinations. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2011;50(7):681–686.e3.
- 27. Storring G. Vorlesungen über Psychopathologie in Ihrer Bedeutung für die Normale Psychologie mit Einschluss der Psychologischen Grundlagen der Erkenntnistheorie. Leipzig, Germany: Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann; 1900.

- Bauer SM, Schanda H, Karakula H, et al. Culture and the prevalence of hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2011;52(3):319–325.
- Jablensky A, Sartorius N, Ernberg G, et al. Schizophrenia: manifestations, incidence and course in different cultures. A World Health Organization ten-country study. *Psychol Med Monogr Suppl.* 1992;20:1–97.
- Llorca PM, Pereira B, Jardri R, et al. Hallucinations in schizophrenia and Parkinson's disease: an analysis of sensory modalities involved and the repercussion on patients. *Sci Rep* [*Internet*]. 2016;6. doi:10.1038/srep38152.
- Clark ML, Waters F, Vatskalis TM, Jablensky A. On the interconnectedness and prognostic value of visual and auditory hallucinations in first-episode psychosis. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2017;41:122–128.
- 32. Mueser KT, Bellack AS, Brady EU. Hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 1990;82(1):26–29.
- 33. de Leede-Smith S, Barkus E. A comprehensive review of auditory verbal hallucinations: lifetime prevalence, correlates and mechanisms in healthy and clinical individuals. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2013;7:367.
- Hoffman RE, Varanko M. "Seeing voices": fused visual/ auditory verbal hallucinations reported by three persons with schizophrenia-spectrum disorder. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2006;114(4):290–292; discussion 292.
- 35. Mosimann UP, Collerton D, Dudley R, et al. A semi-structured interview to assess visual hallucinations in older people. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2008;23(7):712–718.
- Maijer K, Hayward M, Fernyhough C, et al. Hallucinations in children and adolescents: an updated review and practical recommendations for clinicians. *Schizophr Bull.* 2019;45(45 suppl 1):S5–S23.
- Pignon B, Geoffroy PA, Gharib A, et al. Very early hallucinatory experiences: a school-based study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2018;59(1):68–75.
- Medjkane F, Notredame CE, Sharkey L, D'Hondt F, Vaiva G, Jardri R. Association between childhood trauma and multimodal early-onset hallucinations. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2020;216(3):156–158.
- Fong TG, Tulebaev SR, Inouye SK. Delirium in elderly adults: diagnosis, prevention and treatment. *Nat Rev Neurol* [*Internet*]. 2009;5(4):210–220. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pmc/articles/PMC3065676/. Accessed December 2019.
- Vardy ER, Teodorczuk A, Yarnall AJ. Review of delirium in patients with Parkinson's disease. J Neurol. 2015;262(11):2401–2410.
- Morandi A, Davis D, Bellelli G, et al. The diagnosis of delirium superimposed on dementia: an emerging challenge. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2017;18(1):12–18.
- 42. Kulisevsky J, Roldan E. Hallucinations and sleep disturbances in Parkinson's disease. *Neurology*. 2004;63(8 suppl 3):S28–S30.
- Fernyhough C. Modality-general and modality-specific processes in hallucinations. *Psychol Med.* 2019;49(16):2639–2645.
- Linszen MMJ, van Zanten GA, Teunisse RJ, Brouwer RM, Scheltens P, Sommer IE. Auditory hallucinations in adults with hearing impairment: a large prevalence study. *Psychol Med.* 2019;49(1):132–139.
- Allen P, Modinos G, Hubl D, et al. Neuroimaging auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia: from neuroanatomy to neurochemistry and beyond. *Schizophr Bull.* 2012;38(4):695–703.
- Zatorre RJ, Chen JL, Penhune VB. When the brain plays music: auditory-motor interactions in music perception and production. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2007;8(7):547–558.

- 47. Javitt DC, Sweet RA. Auditory dysfunction in schizophrenia: integrating clinical and basic features. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2015;16(9):535–550.
- Jardri R, Pouchet A, Pins D, Thomas P. Cortical activations during auditory verbal hallucinations in schizophrenia: a coordinate-based meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2011;168(1):73–81.
- McAuley JH, Gregory S. Prevalence and clinical course of olfactory hallucinations in idiopathic Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis. 2012;2(3):199–205.
- 50. Jardri R, Thomas P, Delmaire C, Delion P, Pins D. The neurodynamic organization of modality-dependent hallucinations. *Cereb Cortex*. 2013;23(5):1108–1117.
- 51. Amad A, Cachia A, Gorwood P, et al. The multimodal connectivity of the hippocampal complex in auditory and visual hallucinations. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2014;19(2):184–191.
- 52. Rolland B, Amad A, Poulet E, et al. Resting-state functional connectivity of the nucleus accumbens in auditory and visual hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull.* 2015;41(1):291–299.
- 53. Frith C. *The Cognitive Neuropsychology of Schizophrenia. Essays in Cognitive Psychology*. New York City, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc; 1992.
- 54. Shine JM, Keogh R, O'Callaghan C, Muller AJ, Lewis SJ, Pearson J. Imagine that: elevated sensory strength of mental imagery in individuals with Parkinson's disease and visual hallucinations. *Proc Biol Sci.* 2015;282(1798):20142047.
- 55. McCarthy-Jones S, Knowles R, Rowse G. More than words? Hypomanic personality traits, visual imagery and verbal thought in young adults. *Conscious Cogn.* 2012;21(3):1375–1381.
- 56. Garrison JR, Fernyhough C, McCarthy-Jones S, Haggard M, Simons JS; Australian Schizophrenia Research Bank. Paracingulate sulcus morphology is associated with hallucinations in the human brain. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:8956.
- Garrison JR, Fernyhough C, McCarthy-Jones S, Simons JS, Sommer IEC. Paracingulate sulcus morphology and hallucinations in clinical and nonclinical groups. *Schizophr Bull.* 2019;45(4):733–741.
- Brébion G, Amador X, David A, Malaspina D, Sharif Z, Gorman JM. Positive symptomatology and sourcemonitoring failure in schizophrenia—an analysis of symptom-specific effects. *Psychiatry Res.* 2000;95(2):119–131.
- Aynsworth C, Nemat N, Collerton D, Smailes D, Dudley R. Reality monitoring performance and the role of visual imagery in visual hallucinations. *Behav Res Ther.* 2017;97:115–122.
- Diederich NJ, Goetz CG, Stebbins GT. Repeated visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease as disturbed external/internal perceptions: focused review and a new integrative model. *Mov Disord.* 2005;20(2):130–140.
- 61. Powers A, van Dyck L, Garrison J, Corlett P. Paracingulate sulcus length is shorter in voice-hearers regardless of need for care. *Schizophr Bull.* 2020;46(6):1520–1523.
- 62. Moseley P, Fernyhough C, Ellison A. The role of the superior temporal lobe in auditory false perceptions: a transcranial direct current stimulation study. *Neuropsychologia*. 2014;62:202–208.
- 63. Bell V. A Community of one: social cognition and auditory verbal hallucinations. *PLoS Biol.* 2013;11(12):e1001723.
- 64. Clark A. Surfing Uncertainty: Prediction, Action, and the Embodied Mind. Oxford, UK; New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2016.
- 65. von Helmholtz H. Handbuch der Physiologischen Optik. Leipzig, Germany: Voss; 1866

- 66. Sokolov EN. Neuronal models and the orienting reflex. In: Brazier M, ed. *The Central Nervous System and Behaviour*. Amsterdam, NL: Josiah Macy Jr. Foundation; 1960:187–276.
- 67. Lee TS, Mumford D. Hierarchical Bayesian inference in the visual cortex. J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis. 2003;20(7):1434–1448.
- Rao RP, Ballard DH. Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptivefield effects. *Nat Neurosci.* 1999;2(1):79–87.
- 69. Davies DJ, Teufel C, Fletcher PC. Anomalous perceptions and beliefs are associated with shifts toward different types of prior knowledge in perceptual inference. *Schizophr Bull.* 2018;44(6):1245–1253.
- Corlett PR, Horga G, Fletcher PC, Alderson-Day B, Schmack K, Powers AR III. Hallucinations and strong priors. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2019;23(2):114–127.
- Powers AR, Mathys C, Corlett PR. Pavlovian conditioninginduced hallucinations result from overweighting of perceptual priors. *Science [Internet]*. 2017;357(6351):596–600. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5802347/. Accessed January 12, 2020.
- 72. O'Callaghan C, Hall JM, Tomassini A, et al. Visual hallucinations are characterized by impaired sensory evidence accumulation: insights from hierarchical drift diffusion modeling in Parkinson's disease. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2017;2(8):680–688.
- Jardri R, Denève S. Circular inferences in schizophrenia. Brain. 2013;136(pt 11):3227–3241.
- Jardri R, Duverne S, Litvinova AS, Denève S. Experimental evidence for circular inference in schizophrenia. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:14218.
- 75. Jardri R, Hugdahl K, Hughes M, et al. Are hallucinations due to an imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory influences on the brain? *Schizophr Bull*. 2016;42(5):1124–1134.
- Benes FM. Neural circuitry models of schizophrenia: is it dopamine, GABA, glutamate, or something else? *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;65(12):1003–1005.
- 77. Hochel M, Milán EG. Synaesthesia: the existing state of affairs. *Cogn Neuropsychol.* 2008;25(1):93–117.
- Grossenbacher PG, Lovelace CT. Mechanisms of synesthesia: cognitive and physiological constraints. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2001;5(1):36–41.
- Leptourgos P. Dynamical Circular Inference in the General Population and the Psychosis Spectrum: Insights From Perceptual Decision Making. Paris, France: PSL Research University; 2018.
- Luria AR. L.S. Vygotsky and the problem of localization of functions. *Neuropsychologia*. 1965;3(4):387–392.
- Alderson-Day B, McCarthy-Jones S, Fernyhough C. Hearing voices in the resting brain: a review of intrinsic functional connectivity research on auditory verbal hallucinations. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2015;55:78–87.
- Dujardin K, Roman D, Baille G, et al. What can we learn from fMRI capture of visual hallucinations in Parkinson's disease? *Brain Imaging Behav.* 2020;14(2):329–335.
- Shine JM, O'Callaghan C, Halliday GM, Lewis SJ. Tricks of the mind: visual hallucinations as disorders of attention. *Prog Neurobiol.* 2014;116:58–65.
- Craig TK, Rus-Calafell M, Ward T, et al. AVATAR therapy for auditory verbal hallucinations in people with psychosis: a single-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2018;5(1):31–40.

- Chadwick P, Birchwood M. The omnipotence of voices. Br J Psychiatry. 1994;164(2):190–201.
- 86. Sommer IE, Begemann MJ, Temmerman A, Leucht S. Pharmacological augmentation strategies for schizophrenia patients with insufficient response to clozapine: a quantitative literature review. *Schizophr Bull.* 2012;38(5):1003–1011.
- Blom JD. Hallucinations and other sensory deceptions in psychiatric disorders. In: *The Neuroscience of Visual Hallucinations*. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell; 2015.
- Thomas P, Mathur P, Gottesman II, Nagpal R, Nimgaonkar VL, Deshpande SN. Correlates of hallucinations in schizophrenia: a cross-cultural evaluation. *Schizophr Res.* 2007;92(1–3):41–49.
- Luhrmann TM, Padmavati R, Tharoor H, Osei A. Differences in voice-hearing experiences of people with psychosis in the USA, India and Ghana: interview-based study. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2015;206(1):41–44.
- Nuevo R, Chatterji S, Verdes E, Naidoo N, Arango C, Ayuso-Mateos JL. The continuum of psychotic symptoms in the general population: a cross-national study. *Schizophr Bull.* 2012;38(3):475–485.
- 91. Fernyhough C. Hearing the voice. *Lancet.* 2014;384(9948):1090–1091.
- Vilaca A. Chronically unstable bodies: reflections on Amazonian corporalities. J R Anthropol Inst. 2005;11(3):445–464.
- Lim A, Hoek HW, Blom JD. The attribution of psychotic symptoms to jinn in Islamic patients. *Transcult Psychiatry*. 2015;52(1):18–32.
- 94. Cascio C, Simon D, Bryant L, DiCarlo G, Wallace M. Neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders affecting multisensory processes. In: Sathian K, Ramachandran V, eds. *Multisensory Perception: From Laboratory to Clinic.* 1st ed. London, UK: Elsevier; 2020:371–399. doi:10.1016/ B978-0-12-812492-5.00017.
- 95. Wallace MT, Woynaroski TG, Stevenson RA. Multisensory integration as a window into orderly and disrupted cognition and communication. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2020;71:193–219.
- 96. Haß K, Bak N, Szycik GR, Glenthøj BY, Oranje B. Deficient prepulse inhibition of the startle reflex in schizophrenia using a cross-modal paradigm. *Biol Psychol.* 2017;128:112–116.
- Stevenson RA, Park S, Cochran C, et al. The associations between multisensory temporal processing and symptoms of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 2017;179:97–103.
- Postmes L, Sno HN, Goedhart S, van der Stel J, Heering HD, de Haan L. Schizophrenia as a self-disorder due to perceptual incoherence. *Schizophr Res.* 2014;152(1):41–50.
- Shine JM, Halliday GM, Naismith SL, Lewis SJ. Visual misperceptions and hallucinations in Parkinson's disease: dysfunction of attentional control networks? *Mov Disord*. 2011;26(12):2154–2159.
- Collerton D, Perry E, McKeith I. Why people see things that are not there: a novel perception and attention deficit model for recurrent complex visual hallucinations. *Behav Brain Sci.* 2005;28(6):737–757; discussion 757.
- 101. Jardri R, Larøi F, Waters F, et al. Hallucination research: into the future, and beyond. *Schizophr Bull [Internet]*. 2019;45(suppl 1):S1–S4. https://academic.oup.com/ schizophreniabulletin/article/45/Supplement_1/S1/5305654. Accessed January 12, 2019.